Serial No. 10/564,965 Amendment Dated: August 5, 2008 Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 24, 2008 Attorney Docket No. 095309,57283US

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to Fig. 2. In Figure 2, legends have been added.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet

Serial No. 10/564,965 Amendment Dated: August 5, 2008 Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 24, 2008 Attorney Docket No. 095309 57/283US

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-identified application are

respectfully requested. Claims 12-22 and 24-31 are now pending, wherein claims

13-15, 18, 22, 24 and 25 are amended and claim 23 is canceled.

The drawings are objected to for minor informalities. A replacement

Figure 2 is submitted addressing the matters identified in the Office Action.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

Claims 13-15 and 23 are objected to for minor informalities. Claims 13-15

are amended to address the identified informalities, and claim 23 is canceled.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the objections is respectfully requested.

Claims 12, 14-23, 25, 27 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

for anticipation by U.S. Patent No. 7,280,035 to McLain et al. ("McLain"). This

ground of rejection is respectfully traversed.

As an initial matter it is noted that McLain issued on October 9, 2007,

while the present application was filed as an International Application on July

15, 2004. Thus, McLain does not qualify as prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).

Furthermore, it is respectfully submitted that McLain is not prior art with

respect to the present application. The present application claims priority to a

Page 9 of 13

Serial No. 10/564,965

Amendment Dated: August 5, 2008 Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 24, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 095309 57283US

German patent application filed on July 17, 2003, whereas McLain was filed on

June 22, 2004, which is after Applicants' claimed priority date. As indicated in

the Notice of Acceptance dated August 21, 2006, a certified copy of the priority

application was filed on January 17, 2006. In order to perfect the priority claim

Applicants are submitting an English-language translation of the priority

application.

Because McLain is not prior art with respect to the present application,

the anticipation rejection based on McLain should be withdrawn.

Claims 13, 24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) for

anticipation by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0008992 to Saito et

al. ("Saito"). Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) for obviousness in

view of the combination of Saito and U.S. Patent No. 6,097,311 to Iwasaki et al.

("Iwasaki"). These grounds of rejection are respectfully traversed.

Applicants' claim 13 is amended to recite that

for a determined first urgency level which corresponds to a low degree of urgency, an indication mode is selected in which only

the voice output includes a danger distance indication which represents the low degree of urgency

This feature is not disclosed or suggested by Saito and Iwasaki, either

alone or in combination.

Page 10 of 13

Serial No. 10/564,965 Amendment Dated: August 5, 2008 Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 24, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 095309.57283US

Saito discloses a periphery monitoring device that includes a speaker 71

that makes various announcements. Notably, however, none of these

announcements includes a "danger distance indication". Iwasaki discloses a

warning device that employs different colored LEDs to indication different types

of collision alarms. Iwasaki does not, however, disclose or suggest a "voice

output that includes a danger distance indication". Accordingly, even if Saito

and Iwasaki were combined, the combination would not disclose or suggest all of

the elements of claim 13.

Claims 24, 26 and 28 are patentably distinguishable over the current

grounds of rejection at least by virtue of their dependency from claim 13.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 13, 24, 26 and 28 is

respectfully requested.

Serial No. 10/564,965 Amendment Dated: August 5, 2008 Reply to Office Action Mailed: March 24, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 095309.57283US

If there are any questions regarding this amendment or the application in

general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this

should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as

a petition for an Extension of Time sufficient to effect a timely response, and

please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit

Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #095309.57283US).

Respectfully submitted,

August 5, 2008

Stephen W. Palan Registration No. 43,420

CROWELL & MORING LLP Intellectual Property Group

P.O. Box 14300

Washington, DC 20044-4300 Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500 Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844

SWP:crr 6157776